

Tetrahedron 59 (2003) 4911–4921

TETRAHEDRON

Chiral biomimetic NADH models in the benzo[b]-1,6-naphthyridine series. A novel class of stable, reactive and highly enantioselective NADH mimics

Jean-Luc Vasse, Vincent Levacher,* Jean Bourguignon and Georges Dupas

Laboratoire de Chimie Organique Fine et Hétérocyclique associé au CNRS, IRCOF-INSA. B.P. 08 F-76131 Mont Saint Aignan Cédex, France

Received 4 February 2003; revised 18 March 2003; accepted 18 April 2003

Abstract—The preparation of a new class of tricyclic models 1 based on a Friedländer reaction between chiral piperidine-2,4-diones 2 and azomethine 3 is reported. Alkylation of the lactam allowed to install various pendant arms on the chiral cyclic inducer. The so-obtained mimics 1a,d,f,g,h,k were involved in the reduction of methyl benzoylformate to furnish methyl mandelate in $4-87\%$ ee (R). The presence of a coordinating pendant arm proved to be essential to reach optimum results in terms of enantioinduction. Asymmetric reduction of 2-benzoylpyridine with mimics 1d,f,g produced α -phenyl-2-pyridinemethanol in 30–84% ee (R). \odot 2003 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Considerable effort has been devoted to elucidating the stereospecificity of NADH coenzyme in biological reduction processes. In the past two decades, the design and synthesis of biomimetic NADH models has largely contributed to define the role played by the nicotinamide moiety of the coenzyme in the stereospecificity of biological redox processes.^{[1](#page-10-0)} Although some of these models exhibit high performance in terms of enantioselectivity, 2 most of them did not find application in asymmetric reduction due to their poor stability. Indeed, simple NADH mimics undergo numerous parasites reactions which limit seriously the perspective to regenerate these reagents. To improve the stability of these reagents we developed, with success, the synthesis of annulated NADH models (I) .^{[3](#page-10-0)} The second important aim from the outset of this work was to develop highly stereoselective NADH models. To this end, various models (II) bearing aminoalcohols as chiral auxiliaries were prepared and displayed high stereoselectivities during the reduction of methyl benzoylformate.[4](#page-10-0) The chiral cyclic inducer of models (II) was designed to examine the role of a syn orientation of the carbonyl moiety on the stereochemical outcome of biomimetic reductions. Although the good performances of these models is thought to arise partly from the syn orientation of the carbonyl group, the presence of the complexing alcohol function at the exocyclic nitrogen substituent has been shown to be essential to achieve high stereoselectivities.^{[5](#page-10-0)} Biomimetic reductions are usually performed in the presence of magnesium perchlorate. This metal salt is involved in the formation of a ternary complex mimic/Mg²⁺/substrate activating both partners of the reduction. A detailed NMR study of these models (II) in the presence of magnesium perchlorate highlighted the formation of a chelate between the alcohol chain and the $C=O$ lactam.^{[5c](#page-10-0)} In our continuous effort to develop this biomimetic chemistry, we have linked the stability of annulated models (I) and the good stereoselectivity of model (II) to report herein the synthesis of a new chiral NADH quinoline-type models 1 (Fig. 1). Some aspects of this work have been briefly reported recently.^{[6](#page-10-0)}

Figure 1. New chiral annulated NADH mimics 1.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Design of the tricyclic models-type 1

These biomimetic NADH models-type 1 are composed of three modules. Module A protects the reagent against electrophilic attacks on the 5,6-enamine double bond, encountered with simple NADH models (I). As a result of this protection, the reducing properties of annulated NADH

Keywords: Friedländer reaction; enantioinduction; asymmetric reduction; biomimetic NADH models.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: $+33-2-35-52-24-85$; fax: $+33-2-35-52-29-$ 62; e-mail: vincent.levacher@insa-rouen.fr

Figure 2. (a) Design of a new tricyclic model 1. (b) Conformational analysis of the stereocontrolling element (module C).

models are significantly altered. To overcome this side effect, the electron donating methoxy groups on module A are expected to restore the reactivity of the reagent. The active part of the model (module B) is annulated by a second module C to ensure the stereocontrol of reduction (Fig. 2(a)). A straightforward conformational analysis prompted us to install the chirality on the cyclic module C. As a consequence of strong eclipsing interactions with the neighboring N-alkyl substituent \mathbb{R}^2 , we anticipated that \mathbb{R}^1 would adopt a pseudo-axial position (Fig. $2(b)$). This hypothesis is supported by molecular modeling^{[7](#page-10-0)} and is consistent with conformational analysis of 2-substituted-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-1-ones previously reported in the literature.^{[8](#page-10-0)} We reasoned that, in pseudo-axial position, $R¹$ would exert a good stereodifferentiation of both diastereotopic faces of the tricyclic model 1. In addition, the design of this new chiral cyclic inducer C , offers the possibility to install various substituents R^2 to sharpen the role of the alcohol function, on the origin of the stereoselectivity with the previously reported NADH models II.

2.2. Synthesis of the tricyclic models-type 1

Our synthetic approach is based on a Friedländer-type reaction between piperidine-2,4-diones 2 and Schiff base 3 of the corresponding o -aminobenzaldehyde (Scheme 1).

An examination of the literature relating to the preparation of piperidine-2,4-diones reveals that asymmetric synthesis of this class of compounds bearing a stereogenic center at C-6 has been rarely reported.[9](#page-10-0) We undertook to develop a Dieckmann cyclization of compounds 7a,b intermediates, easily accessible via diastereoselective conjugated addition of (R) -lithium amide 4 to methyl crotonate or methyl cinnamate (Fig. 3). Following this strategy, β -amino esters 5a,b are obtained in 69 and 72% yields, respectively, in up to 95% de in both cases (Scheme 2). According to literature reports, the absolute configuration of the newly created stereogenic center was assigned as (S) .^{[10](#page-10-0)} Selective reductive cleavage of the chiral auxiliary afforded β -aminoesters 6a,b in 94 and 84% yields which were subsequently condensed with ethyl malonyl chloride to produce β -(acylamino)esters

Scheme 1. Retrosynthesis of the tricyclic models-type 1: Friedländer approach.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of the piperidine-2,4-diones 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) THF/ -78° C; (b) $H_2/Pd(OH_2)$; (c) ClCOCH₂COOEt/NEt₃/ CH_2Cl_2 ; (d) NaOMe/THF.

7a,b in excellent yields. Dieckmann cyclization furnished the required chiral piperidine-2,4-diones 2a,b in 70 and 75%, respectively.

In a first attempt to prepare the desired benzo $[b]-1,6$ naphthyridines 8a and 8b, piperidine-2,4-diones 2a and 2b were reacted with 3,4-dimethoxy *ortho*-aminobenzalde-hyde.^{[11](#page-10-0)} Whatever the reaction conditions used, the cyclization products 8a and 8b were obtained in modest yield $(<20\%)$ along with large amounts of unidentified products. The major limitation of this procedure lies with the ease at which *ortho-aminobenzaldehydes* undergo self-condensation. To circumvent this problem we made use of the Borsche modification^{[12](#page-10-0)} employing arylimine $3¹¹$ $3¹¹$ $3¹¹$ instead of 3,4-dimethoxy ortho-aminobenzaldehyde. The main advantage of this procedure is to dispose large amounts of stable azomethine 3 and to scale up the preparation of 8a and 8b to a multi-gram scale in good yield. Thus, piperidine-2,4 diones 2a and 2b reacted with azomethine 3 in the presence of piperidine in refluxing ethanol to give 8a and 8b in 68 and 78% yields, respectively. Oxidative cleavage of 8a and 8b in the presence of CAN afforded the corresponding benzo[b]-1,6-naphthyridines **9a** and **9b** in 69 and 60% yield, respectively (Scheme 3).

Alkylation of lactams 9a and 9b allowed to install a wide choice of substituents, offering the opportunity to investigate the influence of the N-substituent on the performance of these models. According to a literature procedure,^{[13](#page-10-0)} alkylation of lactams 9a,b is performed in DMSO in the

Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) piperidine/EtOH/reflux; (b) CAN/CH₃CN/H₂O.

Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) $OsO4/THF-H₂O/NaIO₄$ then NaBH₄; (b) TsCl/NEt₃/CH₂Cl₂; (c) NaI/acetone; (d) NaPO(OEt)₂/THF.

presence of KOH to give 10a-e in 73–97% yields (Table 1). Treatment of 10b,c with osmium tetroxide, followed by reduction of the intermediate aldehyde with sodium borohydride afforded the corresponding alcohols 10g,h, in 72% yield in both cases (Scheme 4).

The good affinity of the magnesium ion for the phosphonate function prompted us to incorporate a phosphonate chain. To this end, treatment of $10g$ with *p*-toluenesulphonyl chloride afforded 10i in 59% yield which was cleanly converted into the iodo derivative 10j in 80% yield by treatment with sodium iodide in acetone. Many attempts to introduce a phosphonate chain by means of Arbuzov reaction failed, leading in most cases to the starting material. Alternatively, the phosphonate chain could be introduced by reacting 10j with diethylphosphite sodium salt affording 10k, however, with a modest yield of 30% (Scheme 4). 14

Quaternization of 10a,d,f,g,h,k with methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate afforded the corresponding quinolinium salts 11a,d,f,g,h,k in nearly quantitative yield. Subsequent regioselective reduction of the former quinolinium salts with sodium dithionite gave the desired models $1a,d,f,g,h,k$ in 81–98% yields. Alternatively, a simplified one pot **Table 2.** Reagents and conditions: (a) TfOMe/CH₂Cl₂; (b) $Na₂S₂O₄/Na₂$ $CO₃$

 \overline{a} Overall yield (10-1).

procedure was developed from 10a,d,f,g,h,k, providing models 1 with comparable overall yields (Table 2).

 $(CH_2)_2PO(OEt)_2$, Me

2.3. Reduction of methyl benzoylformate with NADH mimics 1a,d,f,g,h,k

Models 1a,d,f,g,h,k were involved in the asymmetric reduction of methyl benzoylformate in the presence of magnesium perchlorate in acetonitrile at room temperature. This metal salt, involved in the formation of a ternary complex mimic/Mg²⁺/substrate, activates both partners of the reduction. The enantioselectivities observed ranged from 4 to 87% (R) and in 90% yield in most cases. It is interesting to note that quinolinium perchlorate salts could be cleanly recovered and subsequently reduced in high yield. The so-recycled NADH mimics could be used in a second reduction process to give methyl mandelate with comparable yields without erosion of the enantioinduction. As can be seen from Table 1, the presence of an additional binding element on the lactam chain (R^2) appears to be crucial to attain high level of asymmetric induction. While 1a afforded methyl mandelate in 4% ee [\(Table 3](#page-3-0), entry 1), all other models 1d,f,g,h,k, bearing an additional coordinating site, yielded methyl mandelate in 71–87% ee [\(Table 3](#page-3-0), entries 2–6). Lastly, comparison of the performance of $1g$ and **1h** reveals that changing the substituent $R¹$ did not result in any further improvement in the enantioselection [\(Table 3](#page-3-0), entries 4 and 5).

2.4. Conformational analysis and mechanistic aspect of the stereoselective hydrogen transfer

A detailed NMR study throws light on the conformation adopted by the chiral cyclic inducer. As expected, measurement of the coupling constants between $H-3'$ and the two H-4^{\prime} protons, demonstrated that R ¹ adopts a pseudoaxial position in 8a and 8b. The same set of coupling constants was observed in model 1a, indicating that the methyl group occupies a pseudo-axial position as well. Further support for this assignment came from a NOESY experiment of 8a, showing a strong dipolar interaction

Table 3. Reduction of methyl benzoylformate with models $1a,d,f,g,h,g$. Reagents and conditions: (a) $Mg(CIO_4)_2/CH_3CN/rt/24$ h; (b) $Na_2S_2O_4/$ $Na₂CO₃/rt$

between R^1 (CH₃) and H-4^{\prime} equatorial. Molecular model-ing^{[5](#page-10-0)} confirms this finding and shows a dihedral angle (α) of about 15 \degree (Fig. 4(a)). As a result of the preferential stabilization of $R¹$ in pseudo-axial conformation, the carbonyl lactam slightly oriented out-of-plane of the dihydroquinoline, would in turn promote the complexation of magnesium ion on one face of models 1. According to this scenario, the lactam carbonyl would act as a 'chiral relay' in the diastereofacial discrimination of both faces of the model to promote H^{syn} transfer.^{[15](#page-10-0)} Although this chiral relay may induce the stereodiscrimination of the two diastereotopic H-4, the poor performance of 1a (Table 3, entry 1) discloses that incorporation of a second binding site $(R²)$ is necessary to ensure the stereodifferentiation of both faces of MBF. One can assume that this supplementary binding site participates with the lactam carbonyl in the formation of a chelate as illustrated in Figure $4(b)$. These considerations led us to propose the ternary complex depicted in Figure 4(b) which accounts for the formation of (R) -methyl mandelate.

Figure 4. (a) Conformational analysis of the chiral cyclic inducer in 8a and 8b. (b) Binding sites for magnesium ion and proposed ternary complex Model/ Mg^{2+}/MBF .

Table 4. Reduction of 2-benzoylpyridine with NADH mimics 1d,f,g. Reagents and conditions: (a) $Mg(CIO₄)₂/CH₃CN/rt/24$ h

2.5. Reduction of 2-benzoylpyridine with NADH mimics $1d,fg$

To further explore the potential of these new biomimetic models 1, we next investigated the reduction of 2-benzoylpyridine. Asymmetric reduction of diarylketones remains poorly explored and only few papers deal with the stereoselective preparation of α -phenyl-2-pyridinemethanol.¹⁶ Under the same conditions, i.e. in acetonitrile in the presence of magnesium perchlorate, α -phenyl-2pyridinemethanol was obtained in 75–90% yield and in $30-84\%$ ee. While the best enantioselectivity (ee= 84%) is reached with model 1f (Table 4, entry 2), it decreased strongly (30–35% ee) when the coordinating pendant arm $(R²)$ turned out to be shorter (Table 4, entries 1 and 3).

Comparison of the sign of the specific rotation value with literature data reveal that (R) - α -phenyl-2-pyridinemethanol was obtained in all cases. By analogy to the ternary complex proposed for the reduction of MBF (Fig. 4(b)), one could anticipate the sense of induction during the reduction of 2-benzoylpyridine. Indeed, the ketone displays two binding sites, as in MBF, which would coordinate magnesium ions as outlined in Figure 5.

Figure 5. Reduction of 2-benzoylpyridine with models 1: proposed ternary complex.

3. Conclusion

We have reported with success the preparation of a new class of NADH quinoline-type models 1 which rely on a Friedländer reaction between chiral piperidine-2,4-diones 2 and an o-aminobenzaldehyde derivative. Various coordinating pendant arms could be introduced on the lactam nitrogen to probe the influence of a supplementary binding site on the

enantioselectivity of these new biomimetic models 1. We could conclude that the cyclic chiral inducer (C) would participate in the stereodifferentiation of both diastereotopic faces of the mimics 1, whereas the complexing chain proved to be essential to ensure the stereodifferentiation of both prochiral faces of the substrate. The possibility to install various pendant arms (R^2) offers the opportunity, to some extent, to tune the stereoselectivity of the model to a given substrate. The screening of these various mimics, allowed to select 1k, as the most efficient model towards the reduction of methyl benzoylformate. Methyl mandelate was obtained in 87% ee (R) . In the case of 2-benzoylpyridine, the best level of asymmetric induction is attained with model 1f affording α -phenyl-2-pyridinemethanol in 84% ee (R).

4. Experimental

4.1. General methods

The infra-red spectra were recorded on a Beckmann IR 4250 spectrometer. The 1 H and 13 C NMR spectra were recorded on a 200 MHz Bruker apparatus. Spectra were recorded in deuteriochloroform or in hexadeuteriodimethylsulfoxide (DMSO- d_6). Chemical shift are given in ppm with TMS or HMDS as internal reference. Chemicals were purchased from Aldrich Co. and Janssen Co. and, unless otherwise stated, were used without further purification. Flash chromatography was performed with silica 60 (70–230 mesh from Merck) and monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) with silica Plate (Merck, Kieselgel 60 F_{254}). The following compounds were prepared by literature methods: 3,4-dimethoxy *ortho*-aminobenzaldehyde,^{[11](#page-10-0)} 6- $(p$ tolylaminomethylidene)-3,4-dimethoxyaniline 3.11 3.11

4.1.1. (S)-N-p-Methoxybenzyl-1-phenylethylamine (4). A solution of (S)-1-phenylethylamine (20 g, 165.2 mmol) and p-anisaldehyde (22.45 g, 165.2 mmol) in methanol (100 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. Sodium borohydride (5 g, 129 mmol) was slowly added to the solution at 0° C. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. Methanol was evaporated, the residue was diluted with water and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 $(3\times100 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO4) and evaporated to give 4 as a colorless liquid (96%). The crude product is pure enough to be used in the next step without further purification. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.39 (3H, d, J=6.5 Hz), 3.57 (1H, d, J=15.9 Hz), 3.64 (1H, d, J=15.9 Hz), 3.83 (1H,q, J=6.5 Hz), 3.85 (3H, s), 6.89 (2H, d, J=8.4 Hz), 7.23 (2H, d, J=8.4 Hz), 7.40– 7.25 (5H, m). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 24.80, 51.34, 55.56, 57.69, 114.06, 127.01, 127.21, 128.77, 129.60, 133.08, 145.93, 158.85. IR (neat) 3323, 2960, 2931, 2834, 1611, 1513, 1453, 1246, 1174, 1114, 1035, 822, 762, 701 cm⁻¹. MS (EI, 70 eV): 241.

4.1.2. Methyl $(3S,\alpha S)$ -3- $(N-p$ -methoxybenzyl-N- α methylbenzylamino) butyrate (5a). A solution of n -butyllithium in hexane (8.52 mL, 2.5 M, 21.3 mmol) was added to a solution of 4 (5.1 g, 21.3 mmol) in THF (15 mL) at 0° C. The solution was cooled at -70° C and trans-methylcrotonate (2.56 g, 25.6 mmol) was added dropwise. After stirring for 30 min at -70° C, a saturated aqueous solution of

ammonium chloride (10 mL) was added at -50° C. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethylether. The organic phase was dried $(MgSO₄)$ and evaporated under vacuum. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography $(SiO₂/diethylether-cyclohexane 1:5)$ to give 5a in 69% yield as a colorless liquid. ¹H NMR δ 1.15 (3H, d, $J=6.6$ Hz), 1.37 (3H, d, $J=6.9$ Hz), 2.13 (1H, dd, $J=14.2$, 7.6 Hz), 2.38 (1H, dd, $J=14.2$, 6.5 Hz), 3.45 (1H, q, J=7.3 Hz), 3.51 (3H, s), 3.67 (2H, s), 3.82 (3H, s), 3.90 $(1H, q, J=6.9 \text{ Hz})$, 6.88 (2H, d, $J=8.6 \text{ Hz}$), 7.36–7.21 (7H, m). ¹³C NMR δ 18.32, 26.77, 39.81, 48.63, 49.50, 51.19, 55.09, 56.90, 113.41, 126.44, 127.63, 127.81, 129.32, 133.26, 144.13, 158.27, 172.59. IR (neat): 2970, 1736, 1511, 1250, 1036, 702 cm⁻¹. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{21}H_{28}NO_3$ [(M+H)⁺]: 342.2069. Found: 342.2065.

4.1.3. Methyl $(3S,\alpha S)$ -3(N-p-methoxybenzyl-N- α methylbenzyl)amino-3-phenylpropanoate (5b). According to the procedure used to prepare 5a from transmethylcinnamate (1.78 g, 11 mmol), butyllithium in hexane (4 mL, 2.5 M, 10 mmol) and 4 (2.4 g, 10 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography $(SiO₂/diethylether–cyclo$ hexane 1:9) afforded 5b in 72% yield as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR δ (200 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.24 (3H, d, J=6.9 Hz), 2.59 $(1H, dd, J=14.9, 9.0 Hz), 2.73 (1H, dd, J=14.9, 6.1 Hz),$ 3.50 (3H, s), 3.63 (1H, d, $J=14.4$ Hz), 3.74 (1H, d, $J=14.4$ Hz), 3.81 (3H, s), 4.04 (1H, q, $J=6.8$ Hz), 4.47 $(1H, dd, J=9.0, 6.1 Hz), 6.85 (2H, d, J=8.6 Hz), 7.23 (2H,$ d, $J=8.6$ Hz), $7.27-7.46$ (10H, m). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) ^d 15.59, 37.34, 49.85, 51.31, 55.07, 56.20, 58.79, 113.44, 126.65, 127.06, 127.68, 127.86, 127.95, 128.13, 129.06, 133.02, 141.68, 143.99, 158.27, 172.11. IR (neat) 2950, 2838, 1737, 1611, 1511, 1452, 1249, 1170, 1035, 760, 735, 701 cm⁻¹. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{26}H_{30}NO_3$ $[(M+H)^+]$ 404.2226. Found: 404.2234.

4.1.4. Methyl (3S)-3-(N-p-methoxybenzyl)amino butyrate (6a). A mixture of β -amino ester 5 (6.82 g, 20 mmol), 20% Pd(OH)₂/C (1.75 g, 2.5 mmol) and concentrated HCl (4.8 mL) in methanol (100 mL) was stirred under 1 atm of hydrogen overnight at room temperature. The mixture was then filtered through a plug of celite. The methanol was evaporated under vacuum and the resultant acidic aqueous layer was neutralized with 10% aqueous K_2CO_3 . Extraction with CH_2Cl_2 (3×50 mL), drying $(MgSO₄)$ and evaporation of the solvent gave 6 in 94% yield as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.17 $(3H, d, J=6.4 \text{ Hz})$, 2.41 (1H, dd, $J=15.4$, 6.2 Hz), 2.56 (1H, dd, $J=15.4$, 6.6 Hz), 2.81 (1H, s), 3.17 (1H, sept, $J=6.4$ Hz), 3.67 (3H, s), 3.75 (2H, d, J=7.4 Hz), 3.79 (3H, s), 6.86 (2H, d, J=8.6 Hz), 7.26 (2H, d, J=8.6 Hz). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) ^d 20.29, 41.20, 49.41, 50.78, 51.32, 55.65, 110.84, 111.16, 119.95, 132.82, 147.79, 148.78, 172.62. IR (neat) 3327, 2954, 1732, 1514, 1248, 825 cm⁻¹. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{13}H_{20}NO_3$ $[(M+H)^+]$ 238.1443. Found: 238.1437.

4.1.5. Methyl (3S)-3-(N-p-methoxybenzylamino)-3 phenylpropanoate (6b). According to the above procedure used to prepare 6a from β -amino ester 5b (8.05 g, 20 mmol). Amino ester 6b was obtained in 84% yield as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.00 (1H, broad), 2.63 (1H, dd, $J=15.6$, 5.5 Hz), 2.75 (1H, dd, $J=15.6$, 8.5 Hz), 3.49 (1H, d, $J=12.9$ Hz), 3.62 (1H, d, $J=12.9$ Hz), 3.65 (3H, s), 3.81 (3H, s), 4.12 (1H, dd, $J=8.5, 5.5$ Hz), 6.86 $(2H, d, J=8.6 \text{ Hz})$, 7.20 $(2H, d, J=8.6 \text{ Hz})$, 7.29–7.37 (5H, m). HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{18}H_{22}NO_3$ $[(M+H)^+]$ 300.1600. Found: 300.1605.

4.1.6. Methyl (3S)-3-(N-p-methoxybenzylamino-Nethoxycarbonylacetyl)-3-butyrate (7a). To a stirred solution of ethyl malonyl chloride (4.98 g, 37.0 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (40 mL) was added dropwise a solution of β -amino ester 6a (8.77 g, 37.0 mmol) and triethylamine (7.48 g, 37.0 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (40 mL). The stirring was continued for an additional 4 h. After addition of water (100 mL), the organic layer was washed with water $(3\times20 \text{ mL})$ and with 10% aqueous K_2CO_3 (2×20 mL). The organic phase was dried $(MgSO₄)$ and evaporated under vacuum. The resultant crude product was purified by chromatography $(SiO₂/$ cyclohexane–EtOAc 7:3) affording 7a as a yellow oil in 86% yield. Compound 7a is obtained as a mixture of two rotamers which interconvert by rotation about the amide $N-C=O$ bond. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.22 (1.5H, d, $J=6.8$ Hz), 1.24 (1.5H, d, $J=6.9$ Hz), 1.27 (1.5H, t, $J=7.1$ Hz) 1.32 (1.5H, t, $J=7.2$ Hz), 2.35 (0.5H, dd, $J=15.5$, 6.2 Hz), 2.54 (0.5H, dd, $J=15.5$, 7.8 Hz), 2.58 (0.5H, dd, $J=15.5, 7.3$ Hz), 2.82 (0.5H, dd, $J=15.6, 6.9$ Hz), 3.39 (1H, s), 3.89 (0.5H, d, $J=15.8$ Hz), 3.64 (0.5H, d, $J=15.8$ Hz), 3.57 (1.5H, s), 3.66 (1.5H, s), 3.78 (1.5H, s), 3.81 (1.5H, s), 4.18 (1H, q, $J=7.1$ Hz), 4.23 (1H, q, $J=7.1$ Hz), 4.39 (0.5H, d, $J=15.3$ Hz), 4.65 (0.5H, d, $J=15.3$ Hz), 4.46 (1H, s), 4.42 $(0.5H, m)$, 4.59 $(0.5H, m)$, 6.81 (1H, d, J=8.6 Hz), 6.90 (1H, d, $J=8.5$ Hz), 7.15 (1H, d, $J=8.6$ Hz), 7.18 (1H, d, J=8.5 Hz). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.36, 16.30, 20.29, 21.80, 41.00, 41.90, 43.99, 44.50, 45.73, 51.74, 52.10, 53.06, 53.91, 54.03, 57.44, 57.52, 63.60, 63.68, 115.98, 116.51, 129.74, 130.50, 130.98, 132.84, 160.70, 161.31, 168.96, 169.85, 170.22, 173.30, 174.07. IR (neat) 2992, 2954, 1738, 1650, 1514, 1248, 1176, 1033 cm⁻¹. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{18}H_{26}NO_6$ [(M+H)⁺] 352.1760. Found 352.1743.

4.1.7. Methyl (3S)-3-(N-p-methoxybenzyl-N-ethoxycarbonylacetylamino)-3-phenyl-propanoate (7b). According to the procedure used to prepare 7a from 6b (4.0 g, 15 mmol), triethylamine (2.29 mL, 16.5 mmol) and ethyl malonyl chloride (2.48 g, 16.5 mmol). Compound 7b was obtained in 94% yield as a mixture of two rotamers. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.25 (1.8H, t, J=7.2 Hz), 1.29 $(2H, t, J=7.6 \text{ Hz})$, 2.90 (2H, m), 3.38 (1H, s), 3.51 (1H, s), 3.59 (1.8H, s), 3.76 (3H, s), 3.86 (1.2H, s), 4.11–4.43 (2.4H, m), 4.39 (0.6H, d, $J=7.0$ Hz), 5.03 (0.4H, d, $J=6.5$ Hz), 5.28 (0.4H, t, $J=7.3$ Hz), 6.20 (0.6H, t, $J=7.7$ Hz), 6.74– 6.81 (2H, m), 6.92 (1.2H, d, $J=8.5$ Hz), 7.01 (0.8H, d, $J=8.4$ Hz), 7.26–7.32 (5H, m). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) ^d 171.44, 171.30, 168.26, 167.93, 167.82, 159.40, 158.95, 138.57, 138.02, 130.76, 129.42, 129.20, 129.02, 128.40, 127.85, 127.22, 114.58, 114.11, 61.95, 61.85, 57.92, 55.68, 55.64, 55.06, 52.29, 48.76, 45.89, 42.54, 42.08, 37.65, 36.78, 14.48. IR (neat) 2934, 1731, 1651, 1513, 1246, 1176, 1032, 733, 700 cm⁻¹. HRMS (FAB): calcd for C₂₃H₂₈NO₆ $[(M+H)^+]$ 414.1917. Found: 414.1923.

4.1.8. (6S)-1-(p-Methoxybenzyl)-6-methyl-piperidin-2,4 dione (2a). To a solution of sodium methoxide $(0.97 g,$

17.9 mmol) in dry THF (25 mL) was added compound 7a (5.0 g, 14.9 mmol). After refluxing for 2 h, THF was evaporated under vacuum to give a slightly yellow solid. After addition of 6N HCl (6 mL) at room temperature, the resulting suspension was heated at reflux for 2 h. After cooling, the solution was neutralized with aqueous 10% $Na₂CO₃$ (pH=8). The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3×30 mL) and the combined organic phases were dried (Na₂SO₄). Evaporation of CH_2Cl_2 under vacuum gave 2a as a white solid in 70% yield after recrystallization in ethanol. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.19 (3H, d, $J=6.7$ Hz), 2.46 (1H, dd, $J=15.9$, 2.5 Hz), 2.65 (1H, dd, $J=15.9, 5.8$ Hz), 3.38 (2H, m), 3.74 (1H, m), 3.80 (3H, s), 4.04 (1H, d, $J=14.7$ Hz), 5.25 (1H, d, $J=14.7$ Hz), 6.88 (2H, d, $J=8.7$ Hz), 7.23 (2H, d, $J=8.7$ Hz). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) ^d 19.84, 46.50, 47.13, 48.04, 48.81, 55.63, 114.55, 129.11, 129.81, 159.60, 166.36, 204.21. IR (neat) 2969, 2907, 1654, 1556, 1492, 1356, 1237, 1027 cm⁻¹. Mp=142°C. Anal. calcd for $C_{14}H_{17}NO_3$: C, 68.00; H, 6.93; N, 5.66. Found: C, 67.84; H, 6.91 N, 5.62.

4.1.9. (6S)-6-Phenyl-1-p-methoxybenzylpiperidine-2,4 dione (2b). According to the above procedure used to prepare $2a$ from compound $7b$ (1.97 g, 5.3 mmol) and sodium methoxide (0.37 g, 6.6 mmol). Compound 2b was obtained in 75% yield as a colorless oil, after purification by flash chromatography $(SiO₂/EtOAc-cyclohexane 1:5)$ ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.77 (1H, d, J=12.8 Hz), 2.86 $(1H, dd, J=12.8, 2.9 Hz), 3.31 (1H, d, J=20.4 Hz), 3.46$ $(1H, d, J=20.4 \text{ Hz})$, 3.61 (1H, d, $J=14.6 \text{ Hz}$), 3.77 (3H, s), 4.75 (1H, dd, $J=5.1$, 3.9 Hz), 5.59 (1H, d, $J=14.6$ Hz), 6.84 $(2H, d, J=8.5 \text{ Hz})$, 7.06 (2H, dd, J=7.6, 1.6 Hz), 7.15 (2H, d, $J=8.5$ Hz), $7.29-7.40$ (3H, m). IR (neat) 2934, 1731, 1651, 1513, 1246, 1176, 1032, 733, 700 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for $C_{19}H_{19}NO_3$: C, 73.77; H, 6.19; N, 4.53. Found: C, 73.66; H, 6.02; N, 4.46.

4.1.10. (3S)-2-p-Methoxybenzyl-3-methyl-7,8-dimethoxy-1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[b]-1,6 naphthyridine (8a). A mixture of piperidin-2,4-dione 2a (4.39 g, 17.8 mmol), Schiff base 3 (5.04 g, 18.7 mmol) and a few drops of piperidine in ethanol (60 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The solvent was evaporated and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography $(SiO₂/EtOAc-cyclohexane 3:7)$ to give 2.66 g (68%) of 8a as a beige solid. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.18 (3H, d, $J=6.6$ Hz), 2.98 (1H, dd, $J=16.1$, 1.9 Hz), 3.41 (1H, dd, J=16.1, 5.9 Hz), 3.80 (3H, s), 3.85 (m, 1H); 4.02 (3H, s), 4.03 (3H, s), 4.09 (1H, d, $J=14.6$ Hz), 5.48 (1H, d, $J=14.6$ Hz), 6.89 (2H, d, $J=8.5$ Hz), 7.16 (1H, s), 7.31 (2H, d, J=8.5 Hz), 7.38 (1H, s), 8.74 (1H, s). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) ^d 18.80, 38.57, 48.08, 50.28, 55.61, 56.50, 56.57, 106.51, 107.53, 114.43, 121.32, 123.06, 129.73, 130.08, 135.45, 146.89, 150.11, 154.38, 154.38, 159.41, 163.56. IR (neat) 2966, 2933, 1644, 1504, 1249 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for $C_{23}H_{24}N_2O_4$: C, 70.39; H, 6.16; N, 7.14. Found: C, 70.34; H, 6.23; N, 7.04.

4.1.11. (3S)-2-p-Methoxybenzyl-7,8-dimethoxy-1-oxo-3 phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[b]-1,6-naphthyridine (8b). According to the above procedure used to prepare 8a from piperidine-2,4-dione 2b (1.73 g, 5.6 mmol) and Schiff base 3 (1.51 g, 5.6 mmol). Compound 8b was obtained in

78% yield after purification by flash chromatography $(SiO₂/$ EtOAc–cyclohexane 1:3). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.23 (1H, dd, $J=16.1$, 1.8 Hz), 3.66 (1H, d, $J=14.6$ Hz), 3.78 (1H, dd, $J=16.1$, 7.2 Hz), 3.71 (3H, s), 3.88 (3H, s), 3.92 (3H, s), 4.77 (1H, dd, $J=6.8$, 1.8 Hz), 5.78 (1H, d, $J=14.6$ Hz), 6.78 (2H, d, $J=8.6$ Hz), 6.97 (2H, d, $J=8.6$ Hz), 7.05–7.18 (7H, m), 8.71 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) ^d 39.77, 48.44, 55.70, 56.56, 56.60, 57.71, 106.55, 107.64, 114.55, 121.71, 123.13, 126.61, 128.16, 129.24, 129.29, 129.76, 135.37, 139.87, 147.05, 150.22, 153.28, 154.48, 159.57, 164.74. IR (neat) 2925, 1648, 1502, 1249, 1154, 1031, 1010, 849, 737, 700 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for $C_{28}H_{26}N_2O_4$: C, 73.99; H, 5.77; N, 6.16. Found: C, 74.02; H, 5.69; N, 6.02.

4.1.12. (3S)-7,8-Dimethoxy-3-methyl-1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo $[b]$ -1,6-naphthyridine (9a). A solution of CAN (3.29 g, 6 mmol) in H₂O–MeCN (1:9) (20 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of θa (0.78 g, 2 mmol) in H2O–MeCN (1:9) (15 mL) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred vigorously for 5 h, then diluted with NaOH (2N, 12 mL). After stirring for 30 min, the mixture was filtered. The filtrate was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 $(4 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The resultant organic phase was dried over MgSO4, filtered and evaporated. The residue was solubilized in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL). After addition of Et_2O (45 mL), **9a** precipitates as white needles $(0.375 \text{ g}, 69\%)$. ¹H NMR $(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 1.42 (3H, d, J=6.4 Hz), 3.05 (1H, dd, $J=15.9, 10.0$ Hz), 3.32 (1H, dd, $J=15.9, 4.6$ Hz), $3.95-4.05$ (1H, m), 4.03 (3H, s), 4.05 (3H, s), 5.97 (1H, s, broad), 7.14 (1H, s), 7.39 (1H, s), 8.69 (s, 1H). 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) ^d 21.95, 39.39, 46.39, 56.00, 56.13, 105.95, 107.12, 120.10, 122.50, 134.91, 146.61, 149.74, 154.20, 155.04, 165.53. IR (neat): 3201, 3065, 1709, 1649, 1515, 1432, 1408, 1384, 1309, 1277, 998 cm⁻¹. Mp 214°C. Anal. calcd for $C_{15}H_{16}N_2O_3$: C, 66.16; H, 5.92; N, 10.29. Found: C, 66.03; H, 5.81; N, 10.26.

4.1.13. (3S)-7,8-Dimethoxy-1-oxo-3-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo $[b]$ -1,6-naphthyridine (9b). According to the above procedure used to prepare 9a from 8b (0.61 g, 1.33 mmol), CAN (2.19 g, 4 mmol). Compound 9b was obtained in 60% yield as white needles after recrystallization in ethanol. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.46 (2H, m), 4.03 (6H, s), 5.00 (1H, t, J=7.2 Hz), 6.32 (1H, s broad), 7.14 $(1H, s)$, 7.36–7.39 (6H, m), 8.73 (1H, s). ¹³C NMR $(50 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 40.41, 55.17, 56.03, 56.16, 105.99, 107.17, 120.15, 122.59, 126.19, 128.31, 128.98, 135.00, 140.54, 146.76, 149.82, 154.28, 154.39, 165.80. IR (neat) 3175, 3056, 1675, 1603, 1497, 1425, 1249 cm⁻¹. Mp 238°C. Anal. calcd for $C_{20}H_{18}N_2O_3$: C,71.84; H, 5.43; N, 8.38. Found: C, 71.73; H, 5.30; N, 8.27.

4.1.14. General procedure A: (3S)-2-benzyl-3-methyl-7,8-dimethoxy-1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[b]-1,6 naphthyridine (10a). To a solution of powdered KOH $(0.224 \text{ g}, 4 \text{ mmol})$ in DMSO (2 mL) was added **9a** $(0.272 \text{ g}, 4 \text{ mmol})$ 1 mmol) and benzyl bromide $(238 \mu L, 2 \text{ mmol})$. After stirring for 1 h at room temperature the mixture was poured into water (20 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 20 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with water $(5×10$ mL), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. The solvent was evaporated and the crude product was purified by flash

chromatography $(SiO₂/EtOAc-cyclohexane 3:7)$ to give 10a in 92% yield as a thick oil. ¹ H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl3) δ 1.19 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz), 2.99 (1H, dd, J=16.0, 2.0 Hz), 3.46 (1H, dd, $J=16.0$, 5.8 Hz), 3.86 (1H, qdd, $J=6.6$, 5.8, 2.0 Hz), 4.03 (3H, s), 4.04 (3H, s), 4.10 (1H, d, $J=14.9$ Hz), 5.56 (1H, d, J=14.9 Hz), 7.17 (1H, s), 7.29-7.38 (6H, m), 8.75 (1H, s). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.32, 154.05, 154.03, 149.77, 146.55, 137.70, 135.15, 128.72, 128.36, 127.96, 122.71, 120.88, 107.14, 106.18, 56.59, 56.51, 50.63, 48.73, 38.58, 18.85. Anal. calcd for $C_{22}H_{22}N_{2}O_{3}$: C, 72.91; H, 6.12; N, 7.73. Found: C, 72.85; H, 6.20; N, 7.63.

4.1.15. (3S)-2-Allyl-3-methyl-7,8-dimethoxy-1-oxo- $1,2,3,4$ -tetrahydrobenzo $[b]$ -1,6-naphthyridine (10b). According to the general procedure (A) used for the preparation of 10a using allyl bromide as an electrophile (173 μ L, 2 mmol). Compound 10b was obtained in 95% yield as a yellow solid. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.19 $(3H, d, J=6.6 \text{ Hz})$, 3.04 (1H, dd, $J=16.0$, 2.0 Hz), 3.53 (1H, dd, $J=16.0$, 6.0 Hz), 3.64 (1H, ddt, $J=15.3$, 6.9, 1.4 Hz), 3.91 (1H, quint-t, $J=6.4$, 2.0 Hz), 4.00 (3H, s), 4.02 (3H, s), 4.82 (1H, ddt, $J=15.3$, 4.8, 1.7 Hz), 5.23 (1H, dd, $J=17.1$, 1.3 Hz), 5.28 (1H, dd, $J=10.0$, 1.3 Hz), 5.91 (1H, dddd, $J=17.1, 10.0, 1.4, 1.7 \text{ Hz}$), 7.13 (1H, s), 7.36 (1H, s), 8.67 (1H, s). 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) ^d 18.94, 31.06, 47.95, 50.55, 56.29, 56.36, 106.25, 107.32, 117.61, 121.10, 122.83, 133.76, 146.67, 149.92, 154.20, 154.23, 163.05. IR (neat) 3369, 3264, 2967, 2933, 1650, 1505, 1253 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for $C_{18}H_{20}N_2O_3$: C, 69.21; H, 6.45; N, 8.97. Found: C, 69.12; H, 6.50; N, 8.86.

4.1.16. (3S)-2-Allyl-3-phenyl-7,8-dimethoxy-1-oxo- $1,2,3,4$ -tetrahydrobenzo $[b]$ -1,6-naphthyridine (10c). According to the general procedure (A) used for the preparation of $10a$ from compound $9b$ (0.33 g, 1 mmol) and allyl bromide as an electrophile $(173 \mu L, 2 \text{ mmol})$. Compound 10 c was obtained in 97% yield as yellow oil. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.84 (1H, dd, J=16.2, 6.8 Hz), 3.39 (1H, dd, $J=16.2$, 2.0 Hz), 3.33 (1H, dd, $J=15.3$, 7.4 Hz), 3.96 (3H, s), 3.98 (3H, s), 4.96–5.27 (4H, m), 5.91 (1H, m), 7.04–7.27 (7H, m), 8.75 (1H, s). Anal. calcd for $C_{23}H_{22}N_{2}O_{3}$: C,73.78; H, 5.92; N, 7.48. Found: C, 73.75; H, 5.85; N, 7.35.

4.1.17. (3S)-2-(2-Methoxyethyl)-3-methyl-7,8-dimethoxy-1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[b]-1,6 naphthyridine (10d). According to the general procedure (A) used for the preparation of 10a using 2-iodo-1 methoxyethane (0.74 g, 2 mmol) as an electrophile. Compound 10d was obtained in 89% yield as a yellow solid after purification by flash chromatography $(SiO₂/EtOAc-cyclo$ hexane 4:1). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.17 (3H, d, $J=6.7$ Hz), 3.00 (1H, dd, $J=16.0$, 2.0 Hz), 3.18 (1H, ddd, $J=13.8, 7.1, 5.2$ Hz), 3.36 (3H, s), $3.52-3.68$ (3H, m), 3.99 $(3H, s)$, 4.01 $(3H, s)$, 4.04 $(1H, m)$, 4.23 $(1H, dt, J=14.0, t)$ 4.4 Hz), 7.15 (1H, s), 7.39 (1H, s), 8.68 (1H, s); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) ^d 19.20, 38.41, 46.36, 53.02, 56.51, 56.60, 59.38, 71.88, 106.55, 107.53, 121.04, 123.04, 135.14, 146.81, 150.12, 154.39, 154.69, 163.58. IR (neat) 3374, 2936, 2832, 1644, 1601, 1506, 1254 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for $C_{18}H_{22}N_2O_4$: C,65.44; H, 6.71; N, 8.48. Found: C, 65.37; H, 6.53; N, 8.31.

4.1.18. (3S)-2-(3-Propyl acetate)-3-methyl-7,8-dimethoxy-1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[b]-1,6 naphthyridine (10e). According to the general procedure (A) used for the preparation of 10a using 3-iodo-propylacetate (0.74 g, 2 mmol) as electrophile. Compound 10e was obtained in 65% yield as a colorless oil after flash chromatography $(SiO_2/EtOAc-cyclohexane 4:1)$. ¹H NMR $(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 1.22 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz), 2.07 (3H, s), 2.08 (2H, m), $3.02-3.14$ (2H, m), 3.58 (1H, dd, $J=16.0$, 6.0 Hz), 3.90 (1H, t, $J=5.5$ Hz), 4.02 (3H, s), 4.04 (3H, s), 4.20 (2H, t, $J=6.2$ Hz), 4.22 (1H, m), 7.14 (1H, s), 7.38 (1H, s), 8.67 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 18.90, 20.87, 27.61, 38.08, 43.07, 51.72, 56.03, 56.11, 62.07, 106.04, 107.03, 120.80, 122.58, 134.72, 146.39, 149.69, 153.75, 153.96, 163.12, 170.95. IR (neat) 2968, 1732, 1634, 1504, 1253, 753 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C₂₀H₂₄N₂O₅: C, 64.50; H, 6.50; N, 7.52. Found: C, 64.25; H, 6.58; N, 7.39.

4.1.19. (3S)-2-(3-Hydroxypropyl)-3-methyl-7,8-dimethoxy-1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[b]-1,6 naphthyridine (10f). To a stirred solution of 10e (0.32 g, 0.87 mmol) in ethanol (5 mL) was added a solution of KOH (63 mg, 1.1 mmol) in ethanol (5 mL). After stirring for 30 min, the mixture was poured into water (10 mL). After extraction with dichloromethane, the combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered and evaporated to afford **10f** (98%) as a white solid. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.24 (3H, d, J=6.7 Hz), 1.88 (2H, m), 3.07 (1H, dd, J=16.0, 2.0 Hz), 3.31 (1H, dt, $J=14.1$, 5.3 Hz), 3.58 (1H, dd, $J=16.0, 5.3$ Hz), 3.62 (2H,m), 3.90 (1H, m), 4.01 (3H, s), 4.04 (3H, s), 4.19 (1H, ddd, $J=14.0$, 9.2, 4.6 Hz), 7.13 (1H, s), 7.37 (1H, s), 8.65 (1H, s). ¹³C NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 18.93, 30.66, 37.97, 42.06, 51.53, 56.01, 56.10, 58.09, 106.00, 106.95, 120.34, 122.53, 134.83, 146.37, 149.75, 153.60, 154.08, 164.21. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{18}H_{23}N_2O_4$ [(M+H)⁺]: 331.1658. Found: 331.1655.

4.1.20. (3S)-2-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-3-methyl-7,8-dimethoxy-1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[b]-1,6 naphthyridine (10g). To a stirred solution of 10b (0.36 g, 1.15 mmol) in THF–H₂O (1:1) (18 mL) was added osmium
tetraoxide (4 wt% solution in water, 0.705 mL) $(4 \text{ wt\%} \quad \text{solution} \quad \text{in} \quad \text{water}, \quad 0.705 \text{ mL},$ 0.115 mmol), giving initially a clear solution which darkened rapidly. The solution was stirred for 15 min, at which time sodium periodate (0.747 g, 3.45 mmol) was added in one portion affording a white precipitate. After 1 h, methanol (9 mL) was added, the mixture was cooled at $0^{\circ}C$, and sodium borohydride (0.086 g, 2.3 mmol) was added in one portion. The resultant black mixture was vigorously stirred at 0° C for 30 min and then poured into a saturated NaHCO₃ aqueous solution (30 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (4×30 mL), the combined organic phases were dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated to give after flash chromatography $(SiO₂/EtOAc-EtOH 95:5)$ the desired compound $10g$ (0.261 g, 72%) as a white solid. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.24 (3H, d, J=6.8 Hz), 3.05 $(1H, dd, J=16.0, 1.8 Hz), 3.41 (1H, ddd, J=14.1, 6.2,$ 3.8 Hz), 3.65 (1H, dd, $J=16.0$, 6.0 Hz), 3.97 (2H, m), 4.00 $(1H, m)$, 4.02 (3H, s), 4.04 (3H, s), 4.15 (1H, ddd, J=14.1, 6.2, 3.8 Hz), 7.14 (1H, s), 7.39 (1H, s), 8.67 (1H, s). 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.00, 38.01, 50.27, 53.45, 56.02, 5611, 62.57, 106.02, 107.05, 120.44, 122.51, 134.72, 146.53, 149.74, 153.75, 154.09, 164.88. IR (neat) 3193, 1649, 1506,

1258 cm⁻¹. Mp 197°C. Anal. calcd for C₁₇H₂₀N₂O₄: C, 64.54; H, 6.37; N, 8.85. Found: C, 64.22; H, 6.18; N, 8.69.

4.1.21. (3S)-2-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-3-phenyl-7,8-dimethoxy-1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[b]-1,6 naphthyridine (10h). According to the above procedure used to prepare $10g$ from $10c$ (0.35 mg, 1.08 mmol), osmium tetraoxide (4 wt% solution in water, 0.705 mL, 0.115 mmol), sodium periodate (0.70 g, 3.24 mmol), sodium borohydride (81 mg, 2.15 mmol). Compound 10h was obtained in 72% yield as a white solid after purification by chromatography (SiO₂/EtOAc–EtOH 99:1). ¹H NMR $(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 3.25 (1H, ddd, J=14.2, 6.2, 4.7 Hz), 3.29 (1H, dd, $J=16.2$, 2.3 Hz), 3.87 (2H, m), 3.94–4.00 $(7H, m)$, 4.21 (1H, ddd, J=14.2, 5.7, 4.3 Hz), 5.06 (1H, dd, $J=6.8$, 2.3 Hz), 7.04–7.25 (7H, m), 8.70 (1H, s). ¹³C NMR $(50 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$ δ 39.29, 50.56, 56.04, 56.08, 60.93, 62.07, 106.03, 107.10, 120.92, 122.51, 125.96, 127.78, 128.75, 134.53, 139.46, 146.59, 149.76, 152.68, 154.08, 165.85. Anal. calcd for C₂₂H₂₂N₂O₄: C, 69.83; H, 5.86; N, 7.40. Found: C, 69.75; H, 5.82; N, 7.33.

4.1.22. (3S)-2-(2-Chloroethyl)-3-methyl-7,8-dimethoxy- $1-0x0-1,2,3,4-tetrahedrobenzo[b]-1,6-naphthvridine$ (10i). To a stirred solution of $10g$ (2.0 g, 6.3 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) and triethylamine (900 μ L, 6.9 mmol) was added dropwise a solution of p-toluenesulphonyl chloride $(1.32 \text{ g}, 6.9 \text{ mmol})$ in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL). After stirring for 8 h, water (20 mL) was added. After phase separation, the organic layer was washed with water (10 mL) dried over $MgSO₄$ and evaporated under vacuum. The crude product was purified by chromatography $(SiO₂/cyclohexane-$ EtOAc 7:3) to obtain 10i as a yellow solid (42%) . ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.23 (3H, d, J=6.7 Hz), 3.07 $(1H, dd, J=16.1, 1.5 Hz), 3.24 (1H, ddd, J=17.2, 8.8,$ 5.6 Hz), 3.70 (1H, dd, $J=16.1$, 5.9 Hz), 3.83 (2H, m), 4.03 $(3H, s), 4.05$ $(3H, s), 4.08$ $(1H, m), 4.51$ $(1H, dt, J=13.8,$ 4.7 Hz), 7.15 (1H, s), 7.40 (1H, s), 8.67 (1H, s). 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) ^d 18.90, 37.78, 42.38, 48.47, 53.43, 56.05, 56.15, 106.03, 107.10, 120.56, 122.54, 134.71, 146.54, 149.75, 153.96, 154.08, 163.41. Anal. calcd for $C_{17}H_{19}CIN_2O_3$: C, 60.99; H, 5.72; N, 8.37. Found: C, 60.75; H, 5.55; N, 8.18.

4.1.23. (3S)-2-(2-Iodoethyl)-3-methyl-7,8-dimethoxy-1 oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo $[b]$ -1,6-naphthyridine (10j). A solution of 10i (723 mg, 2.16 mmol) and sodium iodide (1 g, 6.5 mmol) in dry acetone (15 mL) was heated to reflux for 16 h. Filtration of sodium chloride and evaporation of the solvent afforded a slightly yellow solid. The crude product was dissolved in dichloromethane and washed with saturated aqueous $Na₂SO₃$. The organic phase was dried (Na_2SO_4) and evaporation of the solvent furnished 10i in 85% yield as a yellow solid. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.23 (3H, d, J=6.7 Hz), 3.09 (1H, dd, J=16.0, 1.5 Hz), $3.24 - 3.56$ (4H, m), 3.73 (1H, dd, $J=16.0$, 5.9 Hz), 4.03 $(3H, s)$, 4.05 $(3H, s)$, 4.52 $(1H, ddd, J=13.4, 6.9, 3.9 Hz)$, 7.15 (1H, s), 7.39 (1H, s), 8.67 (1H, s). 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) ^d 18.98, 26.76, 37.97, 49.10, 52.88, 56.05, 56.15, 106.04, 107.11, 120.53, 122.56, 125.36, 134.77, 146.58, 153. 88, 154.09, 163.25. IR (neat) 1650, 1499, 1254 cm⁻¹. HRMS: calcd for $C_{17}H_{19}IN_2O_3$ (M⁺): 426.0440. Found: 426.0437.

4.1.24. (3S)-2-(2-Ethyl-diethylphosphonate)-3-methyl-7,8-dimethoxy-1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[b]-1,6 naphthyridine (10k). To a suspension of NaH (24 mg, 1 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added dropwise a solution of diethylphosphite in THF (5 mL) at 0° C. The solution was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. A solution of 10j (213 mg, 0.5 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred for a further 6 h, then quenched with water (5 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The organic phases were dried over MgSO4, filtered and evaporated. The crude product was purified by chromatography $(SiO₂/EtOAc-EtOH 98:2)$ to obtain **10k** as a colorless oil (30%). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.23 $(3H, d, J=6.6 \text{ Hz})$, 1.34 (6H, q, J=6.8 Hz), 2.30 (2H, m), 3.05 (1H, d, $J=16.0$ Hz), 3.33 (1H, m), 3.63 (1H, dd, $J=16.0, 6.0$ Hz), 3.95 (1H, m) 4.03 (3H, s), 4.05 (3H, s), 4.14 (4H, qd, $J=7.1$, 8.0 Hz), 4.34 (1H, m), 7.14 (1H, s), 7.39 (1H, s), 8.66 (1H, s). ^{31}P NMR δ 29.38. ¹³C NMR $(50 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$ δ 16.25 (d, J=2.5 Hz), 16.37 (d, $J=1.9$ Hz), 19.05, 24.87 (d, $J=138$ Hz), 38.04, 41.25, 52.38, 56.01, 56.10, 61.71 (d, $J=6.5$ Hz), 106.00, 107.06, 120.58, 122.51, 134.53, 134.70, 146.47, 149.69, 153.89, 153.99, 163.13. HRMS: calcd for $C_{21}H_{29}N_2O_6P$ (M⁺): 436.1763. Found: 436.1760.

4.1.25. General procedure (B): (3S)-2-benzyl-3,5 dimethyl-7,8-dimethoxy-1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo- $[b]$ -1,6-naphthyridinium triflate (11a). To a solution of 10a (362 mg, 1 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL), was added a solution of methyl trifluoromethane sulfonate (180 mg, 1.1 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere. The solution was stirred for 1 h, the solvent was evaporated to give tetrahydrobenzo-naphthyridium salt 11a as a yellow solid in a quantitative yield. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.13 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz), 3.54 (1H, dd, J=18.0, 3.6 Hz), 3.65 $(1H, dd, J=18.0, 6.3 Hz), 3.94 (1H, m), 4.00 (3H, s), 4.14$ $(3H, s)$, 4.16 (1H, d, J=15.0 Hz), 4.45 (3H, s), 5.36 (1H, d, $J=15.0$ Hz), 7.32 (5H, m), 7.42 (1H, s), 7.69 (1H, s), 9.30 (1H, s). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃,) δ 19.31, 34.13, 40.41, 48.53, 49.10, 56.69, 57.99, 99.07, 107.75, 121.92, 124.36, 127.85, 127.94, 128.89, 136.14, 139.48, 141.98, 151.95, 153.37, 159.27, 159.52. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{23}H_{25}N_2O_3$ (M⁺): 377.1865. Found: 377.1862.

4.1.26. (3S)-2-(2-Methoxyethyl)-3,5-dimethyl-7,8 dimethoxy-1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[b]-1,6 naphthyridinium triflate (11d). According to the general procedure (B) used to prepare 11a from 10d (330 mg, 1 mmol). Tetrahydrobenzo-naphthyridium salt 11d was obtained as yellow solid in a quantitative yield. ¹H NMR $(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 1.23 (3H, d, J=6.7 Hz), 3.22 (1H, m), 3.30 (3H, s), 3.57 (2H, m), 3.62 (1H, dd, $J=17.8$, 2.5 Hz), 3.82 (1H, dt, $J=17.8$, 6.0 Hz), 4.02 (3H, s), 4.12 (1H, m), 4.18 (3H, s), 4.22 (1H, m), 4.56 (3H, s), 7.34 (1H, s), 7.65 (1H, s), 9.15 (1H, s). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.97, 34.46, 40.90, 46.16, 51.49, 57.11, 58.50, 59.375, 71.19, 99.62, 108.09, 122.58, 124.71, 139.88, 142.08, 152.39, 154.11, 159.67, 159.72. ¹⁹F NMR δ -78.76. Mp 208°C. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{19}H_{25}N_2O_4$ (M⁺): 345.1814. Found: 345.1812.

4.1.27. (3S)-2-(2-Hydroxypropyl)-3,5-dimethyl-7,8 dimethoxy-1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[b]-1,6naphthyridinium triflate (11f). According to the general procedure (B) used to prepare 11a from 10f (330 mg, 1 mmol). Tetrahydrobenzo-naphthyridium salt 11f was obtained as yellow solid in a quantitative yield. ¹H NMR $(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 1.21 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz), 1.80 (2H, m), 3.25–3.95 (7H, m), 3.94 (3H, s), 4.24 (3H, s), 4.57 (3H, s), 7.35 (1H, s), 7.64 (1H, s), 9.21 (1H, s). ¹⁹F NMR δ –78.76. ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 161.59, 160.48, 155.40, 153.31, 143.08, 140.72, 126.10, 123.23, 109.74, 99.86, 60.27, 58.10, 57.98, 51.87, 44.41, 40.16, 34.92, 32.01, 19.78. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{19}H_{25}N_2O_4$ (M⁺): 345.1814. Found 345.1812.

4.1.28. (3S)-2-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-3,5-dimethyl-7,8 dimethoxy-1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[b]-1,6 naphthyridinium triflate (11g). According to the general procedure (B) used to prepare 11a from 10g (316 mg, 1 mmol). Tetrahydrobenzo-naphthyridium salt 11g was obtained as a yellow solid in quantitative yield. ¹H NMR $(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 1.25 (3H, d, J=6.5 Hz), 3.17 (1H, m), 3.55 (1H, d, $J=18.4$ Hz), 3.78 (3H, m), 4.08 (3H, s), 4.21 (3H, s), 4.25 (1H, m), 4.49 (3H, s), 7.46 (1H, s), 7.59 (1H, s), 9.14 (1H, s). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) 160.21, 159.47, 154.75, 152.29, 142.20, 139.64, 124.74, 122.83, 108.45, 99.11, 61.15, 58.26, 57.22, 51.74, 49.25, 40.52, 34.45, 20.28. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{18}H_{23}N_2O_4$ (M⁺): 331.1658. Found 331.1645.

4.1.29. (3S)-2-(2-Hydroxoxyethyl)-5-methyl-7,8 dimethoxy-1-oxo-3-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[b]- 1,6-naphthyridinium triflate (11h). According to the general procedure (B) used to prepare 11a from 10h (378 mg, 1 mmol). Tetrahydrobenzo–naphthyridium salt 11h was obtained as a yellow solid in a quantitative yield. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.01 (2H, m,), 3.63 (2H, m), 3.99 (3H, s), 4.12 (3H, s), 4.15 (2H, m), 4.34 (3H, s), 5.42 (1H, m), 7.20–7.30 (5H, m), 7.66 (1H, s), 8.03 (1H, s), 9.43 (1H, s). ¹⁹F NMR δ -78.74. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{23}H_{25}N_2O_4$ (M⁺): 393.1814. Found 393.1816.

4.1.30. (3S)-2-(2-Ethyl-diethylphosphonate)-3,5 dimethyl-7,8-dimethoxy-1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo- $[b]$ y1,6-naphthyridinium triflate (11k). According to the general procedure (B) used to prepare 11a from 10k (436 mg, 1 mmol). Tetrahydrobenzo-naphthyridium salt 11k was obtained as yellow solid in quantitative yield. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.25–1.39 (9H, m), 2.22 (2H, m), 3.30 (1H, m), 3.65 (1H, dd, $J=17.9$, 2.4 Hz), $3.96-4.26$ (13H, m), 4.59 (3H, s), 7.37 (1H, s), 7.72 (1H, s), 9.20 (1H, s). ¹⁹F NMR δ -78.72. Anal. calcd for C₂₂H₃₂N₂O₆P: C, 58.53; H, 7.14; N, 6.20. Found: C, 58.43; H, 7.23; N, 6.24.

4.1.31. General procedure (C): (3S)-2-benzyl-3,5 dimethyl-7,8-dimethoxy-1-oxo-1,2,3,4,5,10-hexahydro**benzo**[b]-1,6-naphthyridine $(1a)$. To a solution of tetrahydrobenzo-naphtyridinium salt 11a (378.5 mg, 1 mmol) in water (15 mL), were added in one portion sodium dithionite (174 mg, 1 mmol) and sodium carbonate (106 mg, 1 mmol). After stirring for 1 h under a nitrogen atmosphere, $Na₂S₂O₄$ $(174 \text{ mg}, 1 \text{ mmol})$ and Na_2CO_3 $(106 \text{ mg}, 1 \text{ mmol})$ were added. After stirring for 1 h, $Na₂S₂O₄$ (174 mg, 1 mmol) was added and stirring was continued for an additional 1 h. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3×20 mL), the combined organic layers were dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and evaporated under vacuum to give 1a in 95% yield as a red oil. NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.29 (3H, d, J=6.5 Hz), 2.13 (1H, d, J=16.0 Hz), 2.50 (1H, dd, J=15.6, 4.5 Hz), 3.14 $(3H, s)$, 3.43 (1H, m), 3.60 (1H, d, J=18.5 Hz), 3.84 (1H, d, J = 18.5 Hz), 3.86 (3H, s), 3.87 (3H, s), 4.11 (d, 1H, $J=15$ Hz), 5.44 (d, 1H, $J=15$ Hz), 6.42 (1H, s), 6.68 (1H, s), 7.31 (5H, m). HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{23}H_{27}N_2O_3$ $[(M+H)^+]$: 379.2022. Found: 379.2018.

4.1.32. (3S)-2-(2-Methoxyethyl)-3,5-dimethyl-7,8 dimethoxy-1-oxo-1,2,3,4,5,10-hexahydrobenzo[b]-1,6 naphthyridine (1d). According to the general procedure (C) from 11d (346 mg, 1 mmol) affording 1d as an orange solid in 98% yield. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.22 (3H, d, $J=6.5$ Hz), 2.34 (1H, dd, $J=16.1$, 2.5 Hz), 2.88 (1H, dd, $J=14.1, 5.9$ Hz), 2.99 (1H, ddd, $J=14.2, 6.8, 5.8$ Hz), 3.21 $(3H, s), 3.35 (3H, s), 3.54 (2H, dd, J=6.1, 4.7 Hz), 3.62 (1H,$ m), 3.69 (1H, m), 3.78 (1H, m), 3.84 (3H, s), 3.88 (3H, s), 4.10 (1H, dt, J=14.1, 4.6 Hz), 6.43 (1H, s), 6.65 (1H, s). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 18.39, 25.83, 32.32, 33.45, 50.93, 56.68, 56.75, 59.30, 72.49, 98.61, 99.08, 113.01, 116.14, 134.91, 145.02 (2C), 147.86, 166.02. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{19}H_{27}N_2O_4$ $[(M+H)^+]$: 347.1971. Found: 347.1968.

4.1.33. (3S)-2-(3-Hydroxypropyl)-3,5-dimethyl-7,8 dimethoxy-1-oxo-1,2,3,4,5,10-hexahydrobenzo[b]-1,6 naphthyridine (1f). According to the general procedure (C) from 11f (346 mg, 1 mmol) affording 1f (90%) as a pink solid. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCI₃) δ 1.27 (3H, d, $J=6.5$ Hz), $1.58-1.89$ (2H, m), 2.29 (1H, dd, $J=16.3$, 3.4 Hz), 2.81 (1H, dd, $J=16.3$, 6.6 Hz), 3.14 (1H, dt, $J=14.6$, 1.8 Hz), 3.22 (3H, s), 3.52–3.76 (5H, m), 3.84 (3H, s), 3.88 (3H, s), 4.08 (1H, dt, $J=14.6$, 1.8 Hz), 4.52 (1H, broad), 6.44 (1H, s), 6.63 (1H, s). HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{19}H_{27}N_2O_4$ [(M+H)⁺]: 347.1971. Found: 347.1973.

4.1.34. (3S)-2-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-7,8-dimethoxy-3,5 dimethyl-1-oxo-1,2,3,4,5,10-hexahydrobenzo[b]-1,6 naphthyridine (1g). According to the general procedure C from $11g$ (332 mg, 1 mmol) affording $1g$ (81%) as an orange solid. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.24 (3H, d, $J=6.5$ Hz), 2.37 (1H, dd, $J=15.7$, 2.6 Hz), 2.90 (1H, dd, $J=15.7, 6.6$ Hz), 3.17 (1H, m), 3.20 (3H,s), 3.63 (1H, m), 3.66 (1H, m), 3.69 (1H, m), 3.78 (2H, m), 3.83 (3H, s), 3.86 (3H, s), 3.87 (1H, m), 6.42 (1H, s), 6.61 (1H, s). HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{18}H_{25}N_2O_4$ [(M+H)⁺]: 333.1814. Found 333.1812.

4.1.35. (3S)-2-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-7,8-dimethoxy-5 methyl-1-oxo-3-phenyl-1,2,3,4,5,10-hexahydrobenzo[b]- 1,6-naphthyridine (1h). According to the general procedure C from $11h(394 \text{ mg}, 1 \text{ mmol})$ affording $1h(90\%)$ as an orange solid. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.71 (1H, dd, $J=16.1$, 4.3 Hz), 3.01 (1H, dt, $J=14.3$, 4.7 Hz), 3.04 $(3H, s), 3.09$ (1H, ddt, J=16.1, 7.1, 1.4 Hz), $3.53-3.71$ (4H, m), $3.76 - 3.81$ (7H, m), 4.66 (1H, dd, $J=7.4$, 4.3 Hz), 6.26 (1H, s), 6.53 (1H, s), 7.10–7.25 (5H, m). 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3) ^d 25.78, 33.42, 34.17, 50.41, 56.64, 56.71, 60.23, 63.37, 98.58, 99.30, 112.94, 115.90, 127.13, 128.40, 129.28, 134.33, 140.92, 144.85, 145.29, 147.88, 169.64. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{23}H_{27}N_2O_4$ $[(M+H)^+]$: 395.1971. Found: 395.1973.

4.1.36. (3S)-2-(2-Ethyl-diethylphosphonate)-3,5 dimethyl-7,8-dimethoxy-1-oxo-1,2,3,4,5,10-hexahydro**benzo**[b]-1,6-naphthyridine (1k). According to the general procedure C from 11k (452 mg, 1 mmol) affording 1k (91%) as a red oil. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.23 (3H, d, $J=6.7$ Hz), 1.33 (6H, q, $J=6.2$ Hz), 2.05 (2H, m), 2.35 (1H, dd, J=16.4, 1.8 Hz), 2.87 (1H, dd, J=16.4, 5.8 Hz), 3.07 (1H, m), 3.21 (3H, s), 3.58 (1H, d, $J=18.9$ Hz), $3.63-$ 3.83 (2H, m), 3.86 (3H, s), 3.88 (3H, s), 4.02–4.18 (5H, m), 6.42 (1H, s), 6.63 (1H, s). ³¹P NMR δ 30.14. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{22}H_{34}N_2O_6P$ [(M+H)⁺]: 453.2155. Found: 453.2150.

4.1.37. One pot procedure for the preparation of NADH models 1 from compounds 10. To a stirred solution of 10 (1 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL) was added a solution of methyl trifluoromethane sulfonate (180 mg, 1.1 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL). After stirring under nitrogen for 1 h at room temperature, a solution of sodium dithionite (174 mg, 1 mmol) and sodium carbonate (106 mg, 1 mmol) in water (15 mL) were added. After stirring for 1 h under nitrogen atmosphere, $Na_2S_2O_4$ (174 mg, 1 mmol) and Na_2CO_3 (106 mg, 1 mmol) were added in one portion. After stirring for 1 h, $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_4$ (174 mg, 1 mmol) was added and stirring was continued for an additional 1 h. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3×20 mL), the combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄ and evaporated under vacuum to give the corresponding model 1 in 81–98% yield (see [Table 2](#page-2-0)).

4.1.38. General procedure for the reduction of methyl benzoylformate with NADH mimics 1a,d,f,g,h,k. In a flask, flushed with argon, were introduced model 1 (1 mmol), acetonitrile (3 mL), methyl benzoylformate (142 μ L, 1 mmol) and magnesium perchlorate (224 mg, 1 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 24 h in the dark. After addition of water (10 mL), the organic solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3×10 mL). After drying (MgSO₄) and evaporation of CH_2Cl_2 , the residue was chromatographed on silica gel (eluent Et₂O–cyclohexane 2:1). Yield: 81–98%. Enantiomeric excesses were determined by HPLC analysis using a Chiralcel OD $(250\times4.6 \text{ mm}; 10 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$. Chromatographic conditions: injection: $20 \mu l$ (0.5 mg of methyl mandelate in 10 mL of hexane). Eluent: hexane–2-propanol 90:10. Flow rate: 1 mL/min. Pressure: 300 psi. Temperature: 22°C. UV detection: $\lambda = 235$ nm. Retention time: 9.2 min $[(S)$ enantiomer] and 14.8 min $[(R)$ -enantiomer].

4.1.39. General procedure for the reduction of 2-benzoylpyridine with NADH mimics 1d,f,g. Model 1 (1 mmol), acetonitrile (3 mL), 2-benzoylpyridine (183 mg, 1 mmol) and magnesium perchlorate (224 mg, 1 mmol) were introduced in a flask flushed with argon. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 24 h in the dark. After addition of water (10 mL), the organic solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 10 mL). After drying $(MgSO₄)$ and evaporation of $CH₂Cl₂$, the residue was purified by flash chromatography $(SiO₂/$ EtOAc–cyclohexane 1:4) affording 2- $(\alpha$ -hydroxybenzyl)pyridine in $75-90\%$ yield as a beige solid. ¹H NMR

(200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.40 (1H, s, broad, D₂O exchangeable), 5.77 (1H, s), 7.15–7.27 (2H, m), 7.30–7.43 (5H, m), 7.63 (1H, td, $J=7.6$, 1.7 Hz), 8.57 (1H, dt, $J=4.7$, 1.4 Hz). IR (CHCl₃) 3119, 1594, 1050, 756, 696 cm⁻¹. Enantiomeric excesses were determined by HPLC analysis using a Chiralcel OD (250 \times 4.6 mm; 10 μ m). Chromatographic conditions: injection: 20 μ l [0.5 mg of 2-(α -hydroxybenzyl)pyridine in 10 mL of hexane]. Eluent: hexane–2 propanol 98:2. Flow rate: 1 mL/min. Pressure: 61 psi. Temperature: 19 $^{\circ}$ C. UV detection: λ =230 nm. Absolute configuration was determined by comparison with the sign of the optical rotation known in literature.^{16a}

Acknowledgements

We thank the CNRS, the région Haute Normandie and the CRIHAN for technical and financial support.

References

- 1. Almarsson, Ö.; Bruice, T. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 2125–2138.
- 2. For recent examples of highly enantioselective biomimetic NADH models, see: (a) Kanomata, N.; Nakata, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 4563–4568. (b) Burgess, S. G.; Davies, S. G.; Skerlj, R. T.; Whittker, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1992, 3, 871–901. (c) Obika, S.; Nishiyama, T.; Tatematsu, S.; Miyashita, K.; Iwata, C.; Imanishi, T. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 593–602. (d) Vasse, J.-L.; Dupas, G.; Duflos, J.; Quéguiner, G.; Bourguignon, J.; Levacher, V. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 4613–4616. (e) Vasse, J.-L.; Dupas, G.; Duflos, J.; Quéguiner, G.; Bourguignon, J.; Levacher, V. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 3713–3716. (f) Vasse, J.-L.; Levacher, V.; Bourguignon, J.; Dupas, G. Chem. Commun. 2002, 2256. (g) Miyashita, K.; Nishimoto, M.; Murafuji, H.; Murakami, A.; Obika, S.; In, Y.; Ishida, T.; Imanishi, T. Chem. Commun. 1996, 2535–2536.
- 3. (a) Levacher, V.; Dupas, G.; Quéguiner, G.; Bourguignon, J. Trends Heterocycl. Chem. 1995, 293–302. (b) Levacher, V.; Boussad, N.; Dupas, G.; Bourguignon, J.; Quéguiner, G. Tetrahedron, 1992, 48, 831–840.
- 4. (a) Combret, Y.; Torché, J. J.; Plé, N.; Duflos, J.; Dupas, G.; Bourguignon, J.; Queguiner, G. Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 9369–9382. (b) Combret, Y.; Duflos, J.; Dupas, G.; Bourguignon, J.; Queguiner, G. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 5237. (c) Combret, Y.; Torche´, J. J.; Ple´, N.; Duflos, J.; Dupas, G.; Bourguignon, J.; Queguiner, G. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1993, 4, 1635.
- 5. (a) Bédat, J.; Levacher, V.; Dupas, G.; Queguiner, G.; Bourguignon, J. Chem. Lett. 1996, 359-360. (b) Bédat, J.; Levacher, V.; Dupas, G.; Queguiner, G.; Bourguignon, J. Chem. Lett. 1995, 327-328. (c) Vitry, C.; Bédat, J.; Prigent, Y.; Levacher, V.; Dupas, G.; Salliot, I.; Quéguiner, G.; Bourguignon, J. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 9101. (d) Dupas, G.; Levacher, V.; Bourguignon, J.; Quéguiner, G. Heterocycles 1994, 39, 405–429.
- 6. Vasse, J.-L.; Levacher, V.; Bourguignon, J.; Dupas, G. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2002, 13, 227–232.
- 7. PCMODEL $^{\circ}$ 7.0 implementation of MM2 force-field. CERIUS 2^{\circledR} . MSI CVFF force-field.
- 8. (a) Schultz, A. G.; Kirincich, S. J.; Rahm, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 4551–4554. (b) Derdau, V.; Snieckus, V. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 1992–1998.
- 9. (a) Waldmann, H.; Braun, M.; Dräger, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1991, 2, 1231. (b) Davies, F.; Chao, B.; Fang, T.; Szewczyk, J. M. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1041–1043. (c) Murray, P. J.; Starkey, I. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 1875–1878. (d) Leflemme, N.; Dallemagne, P.; Rault, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 8997–8999. (e) Kawecki, R. Tetrahedron 2001, 8385–8390.
- 10. (a) Davies, S. G.; Ichihara, O. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 6045–6048. (b) Davies, S. G.; Ichihara, O. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1991, 2, 183–186.
- 11. Vitry, C.; Vasse, J.-L.; Levacher, V.; Dupas, G.; Quéguiner, G.; Bourguignon, J. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 3087.
- 12. Cheng, C. C.; Yan, S. J. The Friedlander Synthesis of Quinolines Organic Reactions; Wiley: New York, 1982; Vol. 28. pp 37–202.
- 13. Johnstone, R. A. W.; Rose, M. E. Tetrahedron 1979, 35, 2169.
- 14. At this stage of the synthesis, it was important to make sure that deprotection and alkylation steps are performed under non-racemizing conditions. To this end, oxidative cleavage of rac-8a, followed by alkylation of the resulting lactam rac-9a with allyl bromide furnished rac-10b. The enantioseparation of rac-10b by chiral HPLC allowed us to conclude that no racemization occurs when the same deprotection–alkylation sequence is achieved starting from (S) -8a. Chromatographic conditions: Chiralcel OD $(250 \times 4.6 \text{ mm}, 10 \mu \text{m})$, injection: $20 \mu l$ (0.5 mg of *rac*-10b in 10 mL of hexane); Eluent: heptane/2-propanol: 80/20; Flow rate: 1 mL/min. Pressure: 455 psi. Temperature: 18.5°C. UV detection: $\lambda = 230$ nm. Retention time: 13.25 min $[(R)$ -enantiomer] and 15.64 min $[(S)$ -enantiomer].
- 15. We previously showed (Ref. 6) with similar models that only H_{syn} is transferred to the substrate. Indeed, from a mixture of both models (A) and (B), only the diastereoisomer (A) placing H-4 in a syn position with respect to the carbonyl moiety is transferred to MBF to yield methyl mandelate in 4% ee (R)

16. For stereoselective preparation of α -phenyl-2-pyridinemethanol see: (a) Salvi, N. A.; Chattopadhyay, S. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 2833–2839. (b) Takemoto, M.; Tanaka, K. J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym. 2001, 15, 173–176. (c) Okano, K.; Murata, K.; Ikariya, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 9277-9280. (d) Kanomata, N.; Nakata, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 4563–4568. (e) Obika, S.; Nishiyama, T.; Tatematsu, S.; Miyashita, K.; Iwata, C.; Imanishi, T. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 593–602.